1	THOMAS E. MONTGOMERY, County Counsel (State Bar No. 109654)			
2	County of San Diego By JAMES M. CHAPIN, Senior Deputy (State Bar No. 118530) 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355			
3	San Diego, California 92101-2469			
4	Telephone: (619) 531-5244; Fax: (619) 531 E-mail: james.chapin@sdcounty.ca.gov	1-0003		
5 6	Attorneys for Defendants County of San Diego, William D. Gore, Jan Caldwell, Thomas Seiver, Brendan Cook, Jesse Allensworth, James Breneman, Michael Proctor, San Diego County Sheriff's Department, and Bonnie Dumanis			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
8	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
9	AMERICAN NEWS AND	No. 12-cv-2186-BEN(KSC)		
10	INFORMATION SERVICES, INC., a Connecticut Corporation; EDWARD A. PERUTA; and JAMES C. PLAYFORD,) SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS IN		
11	Plaintiffs,	SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
12	v.) Date: April 22, 2016		
13	WILLIAM D. GORE, individually and in	Time: 10:30 a.m. Dept.: 4D - Courtroom of the		
14	his official capacity as San Diego County Sheriff; JAN CALDWELL, individually	Honorable Roger T. Benitez Trial Date: None		
15	and in her official capacity as San Diego County Sheriff's Department Public))		
16	Affairs Director; THOMAS SEIVER, San Diego County Sheriff's Department			
17	Deputy, individually; BRENDAN COOK, San Diego County Sheriff's Department			
18	Deputy, individually; JESSE ALLENSWORTH, San Diego County			
19	Sheriff's Department Deputy, individually; JAMES BRENEMAN, San Diego County			
20	Sheriff's Department Deputy, individually; MICHAEL PROCTOR, San Diego County			
21	Sheriff's Department Deputy, individually; JOHN DOE 1-10; San Diego County			
22	Sheriff's Department; WILLIAM LANSDOWNE, individually and in his			
23	official capacity as San Diego Police Chief; JOHN DOE 1-10; San Diego Police			
24	Department; and BONNIE DUMANIS, individually and in her official capacity as			
25	San Diego County District Attorney; JOHN DOE 1-10; San Diego County			
26	District Attorney's Office, individually,))		
27	Defendants.))		
28	,	,		

Defendants submit this separate statement of undisputed material facts, together with references to supporting evidence, in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment.

COUNT ONE -- RETALIATORY GOVERNMENT ACTION

DEFENDANTS' UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACT AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	PLAINTIFFS, RESPONSE AND SUPPORTING EVIDENCE
1. Deputy Seiver requested that Playford be charged violation of Penal Code section 148 for interfering with his investigation on February 28, 2010. Playford continually interfered with Seiver as he was interviewing a subject involved in brandishing a handgun. Seiver did not prevent Playford from filming.	
Evidence: Declaration of Thomas Seiver ¶¶ 2-6.	
2. Deputy Seiver arrested Playford on March 9, 2010, for interfering with him during an encounter with suicidal and homicidal woman. Playford continually interfered while Seiver was trying to keep the woman calm and get her voluntarily into his patrol car. Playford's action caused the woman to try to attack Playford and deputies had to restrain her and start all over again with the woman.	
Evidence: Declaration of Thomas Seiver ¶¶ 7-9.	

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1	3. Deputy Seiver was not the subject of an Internal Affairs complaint by Playford, was			
2	Internal Affairs complaint by Playford, was not directed by Caldwell or Gore to make			
3	the arrests, and did not arrest for the purpose of retaliation.			
4	Evidence: Declaration of Thomas			
5	Seiver, ¶10; Declaration of Jeff Duckworth; Declaration of Jan Caldwell,			
6	¶¶3-4.			
7	4. Deputy Brendan Cook was dispatched to Congressman Issa's Office to assist			
8	patrol units with maintaining a perimeter after a bomb threat had been made. People			
9	were being evacuated from the building and Cook was told that there was a bomb			
10	located inside the doorway on the west entrance. Cook was on the west side of the building where firetrucks were stationed to			
11	delineate the potential bomb blast area and			
12	the safety zone. While Cook was helping get people out of the blast perimeter,			
13	someone pointed out Playford to him and told him that he had seen Playford with the			
14	protestors earlier right before the bomb threat came out. Cook noticed that Playford was hypring to get along to the			
15	Playford was hurrying to get close to the building, and wore dirty jeans covered with grease that was consistent with bomb-			
16	making.			
17	Evidence: Exhibit A. Trial Transcript Superior Court Case No. CN 300278.			
18	Testimony of Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 49-51, 55, 58-59, 61, 80.			
19	31, 33, 30 37, 01, 00.			
20	///			
21	///			
22	///			
23	///			
24	///			
25	///			
26	///			
27	///			
28	///			

1	5. As Playford went past patrol cars and			
2	headed into the blast area, Cook contacted him and told him to stop and back out of			
	the area. Playford argued with him but			
3	backed out. Cook was concerned that he			
4	might be involved in the bomb threat			
4	because of his attire, demeanor and because he had a hand-held camera. Playford then			
5	began filming and then began dialing on a			
	cell phone. Cook thought Playford was			
6	going to detonate a bomb based on his			
7	training and military experience. He knew that the most common way to detonate a			
	bomb is with a cell phone and people who			
8	do that want to record it. And when he told			
9	Playford to stop calling four to five times, Playford didn't comply. Cook took the			
	phone and camera from Playford and put			
10	them on the ground and asked Playford to			
11	sit down. Playford wouldn't sit down and kept arguing with Cook. Cook was			
11	concerned for his safety because of			
12	Playford's size, angry demeanor and body			
13	movements. Playford refused to answer questions and became tense and hostile.			
13	Cook could not control Playford and had to			
14	call other officers to assist.			
15	Evidence: Evhibit A Testimony of			
15	Evidence: Exhibit A, Testimony of Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75.			
15 16	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75.			
16	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was			
	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a			
16	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was			
16 17 18	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in			
16 17	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and			
16 17 18	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and delaying him and other deputies who			
16 17 18 19 20	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and delaying him and other deputies who were securing the area and trying to get people to safety. He did not arrest him			
16 17 18 19	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and delaying him and other deputies who were securing the area and trying to get people to safety. He did not arrest him because he was filming or because he			
16 17 18 19 20 21	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and delaying him and other deputies who were securing the area and trying to get people to safety. He did not arrest him			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and delaying him and other deputies who were securing the area and trying to get people to safety. He did not arrest him because he was filming or because he was a media person. Evidence: Exhibit A, Testimony of			
16 17 18 19 20 21	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and delaying him and other deputies who were securing the area and trying to get people to safety. He did not arrest him because he was filming or because he was a media person. Evidence: Exhibit A, Testimony of Brendan Cook, Vol. I, p. 77. Vol. II, p.			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and delaying him and other deputies who were securing the area and trying to get people to safety. He did not arrest him because he was filming or because he was a media person. Evidence: Exhibit A, Testimony of			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and delaying him and other deputies who were securing the area and trying to get people to safety. He did not arrest him because he was filming or because he was a media person. Evidence: Exhibit A, Testimony of Brendan Cook, Vol. I, p. 77. Vol. II, p.			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and delaying him and other deputies who were securing the area and trying to get people to safety. He did not arrest him because he was filming or because he was a media person. Evidence: Exhibit A, Testimony of Brendan Cook, Vol. I, p. 77. Vol. II, p.			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and delaying him and other deputies who were securing the area and trying to get people to safety. He did not arrest him because he was filming or because he was a media person. Evidence: Exhibit A, Testimony of Brendan Cook, Vol. I, p. 77. Vol. II, p. 23).			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and delaying him and other deputies who were securing the area and trying to get people to safety. He did not arrest him because he was filming or because he was a media person. Evidence: Exhibit A, Testimony of Brendan Cook, Vol. I, p. 77. Vol. II, p. 23).			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and delaying him and other deputies who were securing the area and trying to get people to safety. He did not arrest him because he was filming or because he was a media person. Evidence: Exhibit A, Testimony of Brendan Cook, Vol. I, p. 77. Vol. II, p. 23).			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Brendan Cook, Vol. I, pp. 61-75. 6. Cook did not know who Playford was at the time of this event, had never seen a photograph of him and had never been briefed by anyone about him. Cook arrested Playford for obstructing him in investigating the bomb threat and delaying him and other deputies who were securing the area and trying to get people to safety. He did not arrest him because he was filming or because he was a media person. Evidence: Exhibit A, Testimony of Brendan Cook, Vol. I, p. 77. Vol. II, p. 23).			

1	7. On May 31, 2012, Jan Caldwell sent a photograph of Playford to the front desk			
2 3	at the Sheriff's Administrative Center on Ridgehaven Court for security concerns. The photograph was not distributed to			
4	deputies in the field.			
5	Evidence: Declaration of Jan Caldwell, ¶ 4.			
6	COUNT TWO FA	ILURE TO TRAIN		
7				
8	8. Deputies are trained in media relations at the Regional Academy and Jan Caldwell has conducted in-service			
9	training regarding the rights of media since 2009, The training includes			
10	information about the rights of media and the public and the current trends in social			
11	media and the impacts on law enforcement. The training provided to			
12	deputies, professional staff, new supervisors, and Search and Rescue volunteers also includes blocks of instruction on the various types of media, policy and procedure on working with			
13				
14				
15	the media, interview training/scenarios, media release preparation, media			
16	conference considerations, and Joint Information Centers.			
17	Evidence: Declaration of Jan Caldwell, ¶			
18	5.			
19				
20	HECK V. HUMPHREY			
21	9. The only issue litigated in the criminal			
	trials was whether Playford obstructed or delayed deputies conducting investigations,			
22	not whether he was resisting arrest.			
23 24	Evidence: Exhibit D, pp. 73-78, 80-84; Exhibit B, pp. 6-7.			
25	10.Playford specifically made his First			
26	Amendment right a defense in both criminal cases.			
27	Evidence: Exhibit A, pp. 2-82 – 2-89;			
28	Exhibit B, pp. 2, 4; Exhibit C, pp. 37-51.			
∠o				

Case 3:12-cv-02186-BEN-KSC Document 95-2 Filed 03/25/16 Page 6 of 6

1 2	11. Playford pled guilty for both of the 2010 arrests and was convicted of violation of Penal Code section 148 for the 2011		
3	arrest.		
4	Evidence: Exhibit D, pp. 81-84.; Exhibit C, p. 3.		
5	1		
6	DATED: March 24, 2016	THOMAS E. MONTGOMERY, County Counsel	
7		By s:/ JAMES M. CHAPIN, Senior Deputy	
8		By s:/ JAMES M. CHAPIN, Senior Deputy Attorneys for Defendants County of San Diego, William D. Gore, Jan Caldwell, Thomas Seiver, Brendan Cook, Jesse Allensworth, James Breneman, Michael Proctor, San Diego County Sheriff's Department, and Bonnie Dumanis E-mail: james.chapin@sdcounty.ca.gov	
9		Brendan Cook, Jesse Allensworth, James Breneman, Michael Proctor, San Diego County Sheriff's	
10		E-mail: james.chapin@sdcounty.ca.gov	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			